

Re: hb. 6355 AN ACT CONCERNING RISK PROTECTION ORDERS OR WARRANTS.

Re: hb. 6491 AN ACT CONCERNING NONLETHAL ELECTRONIC DEFENSE WEAPONS.

Sen Winfield, Rep Stafstrom, Members of the Committee &

[Stephen G. Harding](#)

[State Representative, District 107](#)

I am writing today because I am strongly opposed to hb. 6355 AN ACT CONCERNING RISK PROTECTION ORDERS OR WARRANTS. The bill would demand that anyone who has had a risk warrant filed against them prove by a preponderance of evidence that they are no longer a risk before any of their property will be returned. All Connecticut residents who value any of their constitutionally protected rights should be concerned about the precedent being suggested and how far government overreach will be allowed to go. Oppose this bill.

I also write to respectfully ask that you support hb. 6491 AN ACT CONCERNING NONLETHAL ELECTRONIC DEFENSE WEAPONS. The landmark decision of The Supreme Court of the United States in Caetano vs Massachusetts affirms that the Second Amendment extends to all instruments that constitute bearable arms, even those that were not in existence at the time of the founding. This would include so called stun guns.

Thank you for your time,

Robert Gabris

Brookfield, CT 06804